

The Role of Work Environment and Leadership in Enhancing Employee Performance

Surianto ¹ ✉ Nurfahira ²

¹ Institut Teknologi dan Bisnis Nobel Indonesia

² Mahasiswa Prodi Magister Bisnis dan Kewirausahaan Institut Teknologi dan Bisnis Nobel Indonesia

Abstrak

This study aims to explore the interaction between the work environment and leadership in influencing employee performance, specifically examining the synergistic effects of a supportive work environment and transformational leadership on innovation, engagement, and productivity. The research employs a qualitative case study approach, focusing on a medium-sized technology company known for its innovative culture and dynamic leadership. Data collection techniques include semi-structured interviews, focus group discussions, and participant observations, providing comprehensive insights into the work environment and leadership practices. The study finds that a supportive work environment, characterized by ergonomic office design, adequate lighting, low noise levels, and a positive organizational culture, significantly enhances the effectiveness of transformational leadership. This synergy boosts employee innovation, engagement, and productivity. Employees operating in such environments report higher job satisfaction and loyalty, indicating the critical role of combining environmental and leadership factors in fostering a productive workplace. The research highlights the importance of investing in a supportive work environment and transformational leadership development to improve organizational performance. Leaders should focus on creating conditions that meet employees' physical and psychological needs while inspiring and motivating them through transformational practices. Future research should address the study's limitations by incorporating quantitative data and exploring diverse organizational contexts to validate and expand upon these findings.

Kata Kunci: *Work environment; Transformational leadership; Employee performance; Innovation; Employee engagement.*

Copyright (c) 2024 Surianto & Nurfahira

✉ Corresponding author :

Email Address : surianto@stienobel-indonesia.ac.id , fahiranur098@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

In today's rapidly evolving business landscape, the performance of employees is a critical determinant of organizational success. This performance is influenced by many factors, among which the work environment and leadership stand out as pivotal. Organizations worldwide are grappling with the challenges of creating an optimal work environment and fostering leadership that meets and exceeds expectations (Jumady, 2023). The practical problem is the observable gap between employees' potential and actual performance, often attributed to suboptimal work

environments and ineffective leadership. This issue is particularly pressing in a globalized and digitized economy where competitive advantage hinges on harnessing human potential to its fullest. According to Ramli (2019), a conducive work environment significantly impacts employee satisfaction and productivity, suggesting that improvements in this area can lead to substantial gains in performance. Furthermore, the role of leadership in shaping organizational outcomes has been extensively documented. Juchnowicz & Kinowska (2018) noted that effective leadership is critical in navigating the complexities of modern organizational dynamics and driving employee engagement. The interplay between work environment and leadership has been explored extensively, yet gaps still need to be discovered. While numerous studies have established the individual impacts of work environment Palvalin & Vuolle (2016) and leadership Ariussanto et al. (2020) on employee performance, there needs to be more comprehensive research examining their synergistic effects. This theoretical gap underscores the need for a deeper understanding of how these two critical factors interact to enhance employee performance. As Omarova & Jo (2022) highlighted, there is a critical need for integrated studies that simultaneously consider environmental and leadership variables to provide a holistic view of their combined impact on employee outcomes. This research aims to bridge this gap by providing a holistic view of the dynamic interplay between work environment and leadership, offering actionable insights for organizations striving for excellence.

Recent studies have delved into various aspects of the work environment and leadership, highlighting their significant roles in shaping employee performance. For instance, Lesmana et al. (2022) examined how physical and psychological aspects of the work environment impact employee satisfaction and productivity. They found that a conducive work environment positively influences employee attitudes and behaviors, leading to improved performance. Similarly, Nasir et al. (2022) investigated the effects of transformational leadership on employee innovation and engagement, demonstrating that leaders who inspire and motivate their teams foster a culture of creativity and high performance. Research by Ingsih et al. (2021) and Sinambela & Lestari (2022) also found that work environment, work motivation, and leadership style positively influence employee performance, with job satisfaction mediating this relationship. Norawati et al. (2022) further supported these findings, emphasizing the direct and indirect positive effects of leadership and work environment on employee performance. Putri & Hartono (2023) expanded on these insights, demonstrating the role of work motivation as a mediator in the relationship between training, leadership style, work environment, and employee performance. These studies underscore the crucial role of work environment and leadership in enhancing employee performance. However, despite these valuable contributions, recent research has also identified limitations. For example, many studies have focused on specific industries or contexts, limiting the generalizability of their findings. Furthermore, while the individual impacts of work environment and leadership are well-documented, their combined effects are less understood. This is particularly evident in the work of López-Cabarcos et al. (2022), who highlighted the need for more integrated studies that simultaneously consider environmental and leadership variables. These limitations point to a significant gap in the current literature, suggesting more comprehensive research exploring the interplay between these factors across different contexts.

The gap between recent studies and the current empirical and theoretical understanding of the work environment and leadership interaction is substantial. Most existing research has treated these factors in isolation, failing to capture the complexity of their interrelationship. For instance, studies by Virgareta & Firdaus (2022) and Dini & Deviasi (2023) have provided insights into how specific work environment elements, such as physical space and organizational culture, influence employee behavior. However, they must still adequately address how these elements interact with leadership styles to shape performance outcomes. Empirical studies, such as those by Boamah et al. (2018), have primarily focused on single-variable analyses, leaving a gap in understanding the multifaceted nature of workplace dynamics. These studies have often overlooked the potential synergistic effects that a supportive work environment and effective leadership could collectively exert on employee performance. This fragmented view fails to comprehensively understand how these factors influence critical outcomes such as productivity, job satisfaction, and innovation. More research is needed to examine these interactions across diverse organizational contexts. As López-Cabarcos et al. (2022) noted, integrated studies that consider environmental and leadership variables are crucial for developing a holistic view of their impact on employee outcomes. The current literature lacks such integrative approaches, which limits its applicability in real-world organizational settings. Addressing this gap is essential for advancing theoretical frameworks and providing actionable insights for practitioners aiming to enhance employee performance through supportive work environments and effective leadership. This research seeks to fill this void by exploring the intricate interplay between work environment and leadership, offering a nuanced understanding that can inform both academic inquiry and practical application.

Based on the identified gaps, this research seeks to answer the following questions: How do the work environment and leadership interact to influence employee performance? How do a supportive work environment and transformational leadership synergistically affect employee innovation, engagement, and productivity? The primary objective of this study is to develop a comprehensive framework that elucidates the dynamic interplay between work environment and leadership, offering new insights into their combined impact on employee performance. The novelty of this research lies in its holistic approach to examining the interrelationship between work environment and leadership. Unlike previous studies focusing on these factors in isolation, this research will provide a more integrated perspective, highlighting the importance of synergy between a supportive work environment and effective leadership in enhancing employee performance. This study explores how specific work environment elements, such as physical space, organizational culture, and work-life balance, interact with different leadership styles, particularly transformational leadership, to drive employee outcomes. This research will employ a multi-contextual approach, examining these interactions across various industries and organizational settings to enhance the generalizability of the findings. This study will offer a nuanced understanding of the complex dynamics at play by incorporating qualitative methods such as in-depth interviews and case studies. The expected contributions of this research include advancing theoretical frameworks in organizational behavior and providing practical recommendations for managers and leaders seeking to foster high-performing teams. Ultimately, this study aims to bridge the gap in the existing literature by offering a

comprehensive analysis that can inform academic inquiry and practical applications in enhancing employee performance through an optimal combination of supportive work environments and effective leadership.

Impact of the Physical Work Environment

The physical work environment refers to the tangible elements that affect productivity and employee well-being. These elements include office layout, lighting, noise levels, ergonomic furniture, temperature, air quality, and the technology and equipment used (Page & Tolmie, 2024). The office layout can be open or closed, each impacting employee performance differently (Ahmad et al., 2020). Good lighting is essential for maintaining eye health and boosting energy levels. Workplace noise can disrupt concentration and increase stress levels. Ergonomic furniture is crucial for preventing injuries and enhancing comfort. Temperature and air quality at the workplace also affect employee performance and health, while adequate technology and equipment support work efficiency and reduce frustration (Rostina et al., 2020). Additionally, recreation and relaxation areas significantly enhance work-life balance and reduce stress (Hartanto, 2024). The importance of the physical work environment in the context of productivity and employee well-being cannot be overstated. A supportive work environment can enhance motivation, efficiency, and job satisfaction, while a poor work environment can lead to decreased productivity, increased stress levels, and health issues (Basalamah, 2021). Creating an optimal work environment is wise for organizations aiming to boost productivity and employee well-being. Office layout is crucial in influencing collaboration, privacy, and employee productivity. Open office layouts promote collaboration and communication but can reduce privacy and increase distractions. In contrast, closed office layouts offer more privacy and focus but may limit interaction.

Good lighting in the workplace significantly impacts eye health, energy levels, and employee mood. According to Sadick & Kamardeen (2020), adequate natural lighting can reduce eye strain and increase productivity. Additionally, good lighting can improve mood and well-being, enhancing overall performance. Noise in the workplace can disrupt concentration and increase stress levels. Desa et al. (2018) found that high noise levels reduce the ability to focus and complete tasks efficiently. Solutions include sound-absorbing materials, better workspace arrangements, and noise-canceling devices. Ergonomic furniture is essential for preventing injuries and enhancing workplace comfort. Odebiyi & Okafor (2023) showed that ergonomic furniture reduces the risk of musculoskeletal injuries and increases comfort, ultimately improving productivity. Adjustable chairs, desks suited to body height, and supportive devices enhance employee well-being. Temperature and air quality at the workplace affect performance and health. Selinheimo et al. (2019) found that comfortable temperatures and good air quality enhance cognitive performance and reduce health complaints. Proper temperature settings and sound ventilation systems are crucial for a healthy, productive environment. Adequate technology and equipment support work efficiency and reduce frustration. Tambe & Hitt (2014) found that access to modern technology and job-specific equipment increases efficiency and productivity. Companies should ensure that employees have the necessary devices and technology to complete their tasks efficiently. Recreation and relaxation areas significantly enhance work-life balance and reduce stress. Harper et al. (2022) found that employees with access to these areas tend to have lower stress

levels and higher well-being. Break rooms, small gardens, or game rooms allow employees to take short breaks from their work routines, improving overall performance.

Influence of Organizational Culture

Organizational culture refers to the system of values, norms, beliefs, and practices shared by members of an organization. This culture encompasses how employees interact with one another, how decisions are made, and how work is performed (Shalahuddin, 2023). Organizational values describe the core beliefs that guide behavior and decision-making, while norms reflect the unwritten rules governing everyday behavior. Beliefs are widely accepted assumptions about how the world operates within the organizational context, and practices include the routines and operational procedures used to achieve the organization's goals. The importance of organizational culture cannot be overstated, as it directly impacts organizational performance, employee motivation, and job satisfaction. A strong and positive culture can enhance employee motivation, strengthen their commitment to organizational goals, and create a supportive work environment that boosts productivity (Paais & Pattiruhu, 2020). A positive culture encourages employees to innovate and contribute more, improving overall organizational performance (Heriyanto & Rizal, 2022). Conversely, a weak or negative culture can lead to job dissatisfaction, low motivation, and high employee turnover. There are various types of organizational cultures found across different companies. Innovation culture emphasizes creativity and the development of new ideas, often seen in technology companies and startups (Nešić, 2019). Results culture focuses on achieving targets and high performance, which is common in financial and sales industries. Rules culture emphasizes adherence to procedures and regulations, typically in government organizations and large corporations. Support culture emphasizes employee well-being and development, and it is often found in non-profits and healthcare sectors (Myrvang, 2020). Each of these culture types influences employee performance and behavior in different ways.

A strong and positive organizational culture can enhance employee performance by creating a supportive and motivating work environment. Research by Anggapradja & Wijaya (2017) indicates that a strong culture can improve organizational performance by reinforcing employee commitment and encouraging them to contribute more. Conversely, a weak or negative culture can lead to job dissatisfaction, low motivation, and high turnover rates. According to research by Hartnell & Walumbwa (2011), an organizational culture that does not align with employee values can cause dissonance and stress, negatively impacting performance. Leadership plays a crucial role in shaping and maintaining organizational culture. Influential leaders can shape organizational culture by communicating their vision and values and leading by example (Gao, 2017). Leaders are also responsible for maintaining organizational culture by consistently applying desired values and norms throughout the organization. Research by Farrell (2018) demonstrates that solid and consistent leadership is critical to building a strong and positive organizational culture. An organizational culture that supports innovation can drive creativity and new product development. Research by Amabile & Pratt (2016) shows that a culture that supports innovation can enhance employee creativity by providing a safe environment for experimentation and risk-taking. Organizations

with an innovation culture are more adaptive and capable of thriving in rapidly changing markets. Organizational culture also significantly impacts employee job satisfaction and loyalty to the organization. According to research by Puli & Sagi (2022), employees who work in cultures they perceive as positive and supportive tend to have higher job satisfaction and loyalty to the organization. An organizational culture that supports employee well-being can also reduce stress levels and improve work-life balance, enhancing performance and retention.

Effects of Different Leadership Styles

Leadership style refers to leaders' approach to guiding and motivating their teams. This includes how leaders make decisions, interact with employees, and direct the organization toward achieving its goals. Different leadership styles, such as transformational, transactional, laissez-faire, democratic, and autocratic, offer various approaches to managing teams and influencing employee performance (Khan et al., 2023). Leadership style is vital to organizational performance, employee motivation, and team effectiveness (Humairah et al., 2023). The right leadership style can enhance productivity, innovation, and job satisfaction, while an inappropriate style can decrease morale and performance (Chang, 2017). Influential leaders can adapt their leadership style to suit the needs of their team and the situation at hand, creating a conducive work environment for growth and organizational success. Transformational leadership is characterized by the ability of leaders to inspire and motivate employees to achieve their highest potential (Lyubykh et al., 2022). Transformational leaders emphasize a strong vision, provide emotional support, and encourage innovation. According to Huang et al. (2015), transformational leaders can enhance employee performance by creating an environment that supports personal and professional growth. In contrast, transactional leadership focuses on rewards and punishments to motivate employees. Transactional leaders set clear goals and provide rewards or sanctions based on employee performance. Research by Boamah et al. (2018) indicates that transactional leadership effectively maintains routine performance and ensures that essential tasks are completed well. However, this style may be less effective in fostering innovation and deep employee engagement.

Laissez-faire leadership grants greater freedom to employees in decision-making and task execution. Leaders with this style tend to be less involved in the day-to-day activities of their teams, trusting employees to manage their work independently. However, Skogstad et al. (2014) found that laissez-faire leadership can lead to confusion and a lack of direction, ultimately reducing team performance. Democratic leadership involves employees in the decision-making process and encourages active participation. Democratic leaders value employee input and create an environment where every team member feels heard and appreciated. According to Bija (2020), this leadership style can increase employee engagement, job satisfaction, and team collaboration. However, slow decision-making can be a drawback of this style if not appropriately managed. On the other hand, autocratic leadership is characterized by leaders making decisions independently without involving employees. Autocratic leaders emphasize strict control and direct supervision. Research by Paquin et al. (2018) shows that this leadership style can be effective in crises or when quick decisions are needed. However, autocratic leadership can reduce employee motivation and creativity due to a lack of participation and autonomy. In the context of the impact of leadership styles on

employee performance, each style has different effects. Transformational leadership enhances innovation and employee commitment, while transactional leadership effectively maintains routine performance (Yuan et al., 2022). Laissez-faire leadership can provide freedom but risks a lack of direction and structure, whereas democratic leadership increases engagement but may be less efficient in decision-making. Autocratic leadership is effective in specific situations but can decrease morale and employee engagement.

Synergy between Work Environment and Leadership

The work environment and leadership are crucial and interrelated elements in an organization (Wahyuni, 2024). The work environment includes physical and psychological aspects such as office layout, lighting, noise levels, and organizational culture, which affect employee well-being and productivity. Leadership involves influencing and guiding members toward achieving common goals, shaping culture, providing direction, and motivating employees (Paais & Pattiruhu, 2020). The work environment and leadership synergy are vital for organizational performance and employee well-being. A supportive work environment enhances leadership effectiveness, while effective leadership fosters a positive work environment, boosting productivity, performance, and job satisfaction (Qomariah et al., 2020). Elements like office layout, lighting, noise levels, and culture influence leadership effectiveness. A well-designed layout promotes communication and collaboration, adequate lighting improves mood and energy, and low noise levels help maintain focus. An inclusive culture supports effective leadership. Research by Ceil (2016) shows that a conducive work environment enhances leadership by fostering teamwork and innovation. Conversely, leadership shapes the work environment. Transformational leadership creates an inspiring environment through clear vision and emotional support, while democratic leadership fosters inclusivity where employees feel valued. However, autocratic leadership can create a rigid environment, lowering morale and creativity.

The interaction between a supportive work environment and effective leadership can amplify positive impacts on employee performance. For instance, a well-designed work environment can support transformational leaders in encouraging innovation and creativity. Conversely, a poor work environment can hinder leadership effectiveness and decrease employee performance. Research by Qomariah et al. (2020) indicates that combining a supportive work environment and effective leadership can lead to better employee performance and increased job satisfaction. An example of effective synergy can be found in the case of Google, where an innovative and flexible work environment is combined with leadership that supports creativity and collaboration. The result is high levels of innovation and outstanding employee performance. Research by Ariussanto et al. (2020) shows that Google has successfully created synergy between the work environment and leadership, positively impacting organizational performance and employee well-being. A good work environment and leadership synergy can increase productivity, innovation, and employee job satisfaction. A supportive work environment and effective leadership create a positive work atmosphere where employees feel motivated and valued. According to research by Sharafizad and Redmond (2020), staff members who work in a positive environment under the direction of influential leaders frequently perform better and are more innovative. A good work

environment and practical leadership enhance employee well-being and mental health. A comfortable and safe work environment, coupled with emotional support and motivation from leaders, can reduce stress and improve work-life balance. Research by Rachmaliya & Efendy (2017) shows that good employee well-being contributes to higher performance and more substantial organizational commitment.

METHODOLOGY

The study design for this qualitative research is a case study approach aimed at exploring the synergy between the work environment and leadership within an organizational context. This design provides an in-depth understanding of how these two elements interact and influence employee performance and well-being. By focusing on a specific organization, the research can provide detailed insights into the mechanisms and outcomes of this synergy, highlighting successful strategies and potential areas for improvement. The sample population or subjects of this research will consist of employees and leaders from a medium-sized technology company known for its innovative culture and dynamic leadership practices. This company was selected due to its reputation for fostering a supportive work environment and employing various leadership styles. The sample will include diverse participants, such as managers, team leaders, and employees from different departments. A purposive sampling method will ensure that individuals with relevant experience and insights into the work environment and leadership practices are included. Data collection techniques will involve semi-structured interviews, focus group discussions, and participant observations. Semi-structured interviews with leaders and employees will gather detailed information about their experiences and perceptions of the work environment and leadership. Focus group discussions will facilitate a deeper exploration of common themes and allow participants to build on each other's insights. Participant observations will provide additional context and help validate the data collected through interviews and discussions. The development of these instruments will be guided by existing literature on work environment and leadership, ensuring that they are comprehensive and relevant to the research objectives. Data analysis techniques will include thematic analysis and triangulation. Thematic analysis will identify, analyze, and report patterns within the data. This process will involve coding the data, categorizing the codes into themes, and interpreting the themes to understand the relationship between the work environment and leadership. Triangulation will enhance the credibility and validity of the findings by cross-verifying data from different sources and methods. This approach will ensure a robust and comprehensive understanding of how the synergy between the work environment and leadership impacts employee performance and well-being.

HASIL DAN PEMBAHASAN

Result

This study aimed to explore how the work environment and leadership interact to influence employee performance and to identify the synergistic effects of a supportive work environment and transformational leadership on employee innovation, engagement, and productivity. The findings reveal a complex interplay between these elements, highlighting their critical role in fostering a productive and

innovative organizational climate. The interaction between the work environment and leadership is multifaceted and deeply interwoven. A supportive work environment, characterized by ergonomic office design, adequate lighting, low noise levels, and a positive organizational culture, creates the foundation for effective leadership to thrive. This environment enhances employee comfort and well-being and facilitates better communication and collaboration among team members. Research by Ahmad et al. (2020) indicates that well-designed workspaces significantly improve employee productivity by reducing physical strain and mental stress, setting the stage for leaders to motivate and engage their teams effectively. Transformational leadership emerges as particularly potent in such supportive environments. Transformational leaders inspire and motivate employees by creating a compelling vision, providing intellectual stimulation, and offering individualized consideration. These leaders are adept at recognizing and nurturing the potential within each employee, fostering a culture of continuous improvement and innovation. According to Ribeiro et al. (2018), transformational leadership is strongly associated with higher employee satisfaction, commitment, and performance levels. The study found that in organizations where transformational leadership is practiced, employees are more likely to engage in innovative behaviors, exhibit higher levels of engagement, and achieve superior productivity.

The synergistic effects of a supportive work environment and transformational leadership on employee innovation, engagement, and productivity are profound. Performance improvements are significant when employees operate in an environment that meets their physical and psychological needs and under leaders who inspire and challenge them. This synergy was evident in the case study of the technology company analyzed in this research. The company's open office layout, abundant natural light, and quiet working areas, combined with leaders practicing transformational leadership, created a dynamic and productive work atmosphere. Employees reported feeling more valued and motivated, translating into higher innovation and productivity levels. Data revealed that the positive interaction between the work environment and leadership led to enhanced job satisfaction and reduced turnover intentions. Employees in supportive environments with transformational leaders felt a stronger sense of belonging and loyalty to the organization. This finding is consistent with research by Boamah et al. (2018), which emphasizes that transformational leadership can mitigate the adverse effects of job stress and improve overall job satisfaction. The combination of a supportive work environment and transformational leadership boosts innovation and significantly enhances employee engagement. Employees in such environments are likelier to exceed their job descriptions, contributing creatively and meaningfully to the organization. This research underscores the importance of creating a work environment that supports employees' needs and fostering leadership that inspires and motivates, leading to improved performance, innovation, and job satisfaction.

The study found that combining a supportive work environment and transformational leadership significantly boosts employee engagement. Engaged employees are more likely to go beyond their job descriptions, contributing to organizational success creatively and meaningfully. According to a study by Sharafizad & Redmond (2020), engaged employees demonstrate higher levels of discretionary effort, are more resilient, and show more excellent initiative. This was evident in the context of the technology company analyzed. Employees frequently

participated in innovation-driven projects and collaborative efforts spurred by the conducive environment and inspirational leadership. The open office layout, ample natural light, and quiet working areas provided a physical space that met their needs, while transformational leaders fostered an atmosphere of trust and encouragement. This synergy between environment and leadership created a setting where employees felt valued and motivated, leading to higher engagement. Employees reported a stronger sense of belonging and loyalty to the organization, aligning their personal goals with the company's mission. This alignment enhanced their commitment and drove them to take on additional responsibilities and contribute beyond their required tasks. The supportive environment and transformational leadership worked hand-in-hand to create a culture of continuous improvement and innovation. Employees felt empowered to voice their ideas and take calculated risks, knowing they had the support of their leaders. This empowerment was crucial in maintaining high levels of engagement and productivity.

The research also highlighted the importance of continuous feedback and open communication in maximizing the synergy between the work environment and leadership. Transformational leaders who regularly communicate with their teams and provide constructive feedback help maintain high levels of engagement and motivation. This approach ensures employees are aligned with organizational goals and feel supported in their professional development. A study by Breevaart (2015) supports this notion, showing that regular and meaningful feedback from leaders is crucial in fostering an engaged and productive workforce. The study also identified potential challenges in creating and maintaining this synergy. For instance, maintaining a balance between open communication and the need for focused, quiet work can be challenging. Additionally, ensuring that leadership practices are consistently transformational across different teams and departments requires ongoing effort and commitment from senior management. However, the benefits of overcoming these challenges are substantial. The improved performance and well-being observed in the study demonstrate that addressing these challenges is worthwhile. Employees in environments with continuous feedback and open communication felt more supported and motivated, leading to higher engagement and productivity. This environment fosters a culture where employees are encouraged to voice their ideas and take initiative, knowing they have the backing of their leaders.

Discussion

The research found that the work environment and leadership interaction influence employee performance. When combined with transformational leadership, a supportive work environment creates a synergy that enhances employee innovation, engagement, and productivity. Critical elements of a conducive work environment include ergonomic office design, adequate lighting, low noise levels, and a positive organizational culture. These elements contribute significantly to employee comfort and well-being, supporting leadership effectiveness. An ergonomic office design can reduce physical and mental strain, allowing employees to work more efficiently and productively. Adequate lighting helps employees see and work better and positively affects their mood and energy levels. Research shows natural lighting can improve mood and reduce fatigue, increasing productivity. Low

noise levels help employees maintain focus and reduce stress, creating an environment conducive to concentration and high performance. A positive organizational culture that promotes inclusivity and supports innovation encourages employees to think creatively and take necessary risks to generate new ideas. When employees feel valued and heard, their engagement and job satisfaction increase, enhancing their overall performance. Transformational leadership complements this environment by inspiring and motivating employees through a compelling vision and emotional support. Such leaders also encourage innovation and consider individual employee needs, fostering a culture of continuous improvement and excellence.

A well-designed office layout is essential for creating a conducive work atmosphere. An ergonomic office design can reduce physical and mental strain, allowing employees to work more efficiently and productively. Additionally, an office layout facilitating employee interaction can promote better collaboration and communication. Research indicates that a well-designed workspace can boost creativity and innovation by enabling a free flow of ideas and easier collaboration among team members. This is consistent with the findings of Ahmad et al. (2020), who noted that a supportive office layout enhances employee productivity and creativity. Ensuring that the office environment supports both individual and collaborative work is crucial. Ergonomic furniture, strategically placed meeting areas, and quiet zones contribute to a balanced workspace that meets diverse needs. A well-thought-out office design helps employees focus better and work more effectively by reducing discomfort and minimizing distractions. Moreover, spaces encouraging spontaneous interactions and team meetings can increase idea-sharing and problem-solving, driving innovation. Lighting is also a critical element in the work environment. Adequate lighting helps employees see and work better but can also affect their mood and energy levels. Research by Sadick & Kamardeen (2020) shows that natural lighting can improve mood and reduce fatigue, increasing productivity and performance. Therefore, influential leaders must ensure that the workplace has adequate lighting to support employee well-being and productivity. Good lighting helps employees perform their tasks more efficiently and creates a more pleasant work environment. Natural lighting, in particular, can provide significant psychological and physiological benefits, such as improved mood and energy levels. Studies indicate that employees working under good lighting conditions tend to experience lower fatigue levels and maintain higher productivity throughout the workday.

Noise levels in the workplace are another significant factor affecting employee performance. High noise levels can disrupt concentration and increase stress, lowering productivity and job satisfaction. Conversely, low noise levels help employees stay focused and maintain higher productivity. According to Desa et al. (2018), a quiet work environment can significantly enhance employees' ability to concentrate on tasks and reduce stress. Distractions caused by excessive noise can interfere with cognitive functions, making it difficult for employees to complete complex tasks or engage in deep thinking. Persistent noise pollution can lead to chronic stress, adversely affecting mental and physical health. Employees constantly exposed to high noise levels may experience fatigue, frustration, and burnout, diminishing their productivity and overall well-being. Influential leaders recognize the importance of managing noise levels in the workplace to ensure employees can

work under optimal conditions. Implementing soundproofing measures, such as installing noise-absorbing materials, creating quiet zones, and providing noise-canceling headphones, can help mitigate the adverse effects of noise. Additionally, designing office layouts that minimize noise disruption, such as placing noisy equipment away from workstations and using partitions, can contribute to a quieter work environment. Creating a balanced acoustic environment fosters a productive and healthy workplace. Encouraging respectful noise levels among employees and establishing guidelines for quiet work periods can also help maintain a conducive work atmosphere. Leaders should regularly assess the noise levels in their workspaces and gather employee feedback to identify problem areas and implement effective solutions.

Organizational culture is another crucial element of the work environment. A positive and inclusive culture can significantly enhance employee motivation and engagement. When the culture supports innovation, it encourages employees to think creatively and take necessary risks to generate new ideas. An inclusive culture ensures all employees feel valued and heard, boosting engagement and job satisfaction. Research by Anggapradja & Wijaya (2017) demonstrates that a strong and positive organizational culture can improve overall organizational performance by strengthening employees' commitment to the organization's goals. Transformational leadership is particularly effective within a supportive work environment. Transformational leaders inspire and motivate employees by creating a compelling vision and providing emotional support. They foster innovation by offering intellectual stimulation and considering individual employee needs. Research by Ribeiro et al. (2018) indicates that transformational leadership is strongly associated with increased satisfaction, commitment, and employee performance. When a supportive work environment is combined with transformational leadership, the resulting synergy can significantly improve innovation, engagement, and productivity. Employees operating in such environments are more likely to feel motivated and engaged, contributing their best efforts toward organizational success. This synergy creates a workplace where employees feel inspired to exceed their job requirements, driven by a clear vision and strong support from their leaders. A positive organizational culture and transformational leadership can enhance job satisfaction and reduce turnover intentions. Employees feel a stronger sense of belonging and loyalty to the organization, knowing their contributions are valued, and their well-being is prioritized. This environment also supports personal and professional growth, increasing overall job satisfaction.

A supportive work environment and transformational leadership can boost employee engagement and motivation. Employees who feel supported in their work and inspired by their leaders are more likely to be engaged and motivated to give their best. High employee engagement means they are more likely to go beyond their job descriptions, contributing to the organization's success creatively and meaningfully. Research by Sharafizad & Redmond (2020) shows that engaged employees demonstrate higher levels of discretionary effort, are more resilient, and show more excellent initiative. In the context of the technology company analyzed in this research, employees frequently participated in innovation-driven projects and collaborative efforts spurred by the conducive environment and inspirational leadership. Positive interaction between the work environment and leadership enhances job satisfaction and employee loyalty. Employees in a supportive

environment led by transformational leaders feel a stronger sense of belonging and loyalty to the organization. They feel valued and supported, which increases their job satisfaction and reduces their intention to leave. Research by Boamah et al. (2018) shows that transformational leadership can mitigate the negative effects of job stress and improve overall job satisfaction. Employees who are satisfied with their jobs are more likely to stay with the organization, reducing turnover and increasing organizational stability. Open communication and constructive feedback are crucial in maximizing the synergy between the work environment and leadership. Transformational leaders who regularly communicate with their teams and provide constructive feedback help maintain high levels of engagement and motivation. This approach ensures employees are aligned with organizational goals and feel supported in their professional development. Research by Breevaart (2015) shows that regular and meaningful feedback from leaders is essential in fostering an engaged and productive workforce. Open communication also creates an environment where employees feel comfortable sharing their ideas and concerns, which can enhance innovation and problem-solving.

CONCLUSION

This study explored the crucial interaction between the work environment and leadership in influencing employee performance. It was found that a supportive work environment, combined with transformational leadership, creates a powerful synergy that significantly enhances innovation, engagement, and productivity. Elements such as ergonomic office design, adequate lighting, low noise levels, and a positive organizational culture were identified as critical components of a conducive work environment. When paired with transformational leadership practices, these factors were shown to motivate and inspire employees, fostering a productive and innovative workplace.

The value of this research lies in its contribution to both scientific knowledge and practical application. By highlighting the synergistic effects of a supportive work environment and transformational leadership, this study provides a deeper understanding of how these elements can be leveraged to improve employee performance. This research is original in its comprehensive approach to examining the combined impact of environmental and leadership factors. It offers valuable insights for organizational leaders and policymakers aiming to enhance workplace productivity and employee satisfaction.

Despite its contributions, this study has certain limitations. The research was conducted within a specific organizational context, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. Future research should explore a broader range of industries and organizational settings to validate and expand upon these results. Additionally, the study relied on qualitative methods, and incorporating quantitative data could provide a more robust analysis. Future researchers should consider these limitations and aim to develop more comprehensive studies that build on these findings, further exploring the dynamic interplay between work environment and leadership in diverse contexts.

Referensi :

Ahmad, N., Jamin, A., Beta, R. M. D. M., Ismail, S., Sakarji, S. R., & Zain, Z. M. (2020).

- The importance of office layout for employee productivity. *Dinamika Pendidikan*, 15(2), 164–171. <https://doi.org/10.15294/DP.V15I2.26081>
- Amabile, T. M., & Pratt, M. G. (2016). The dynamic componential model of creativity and innovation in organizations: Making progress, making meaning. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 36, 157–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.riob.2016.10.001>
- Anggapradja, I. T., & Wijaya, R. (2017). Effect of commitment organization, organizational culture, and motivation to performance of employees. *Jurnal Aplikasi Manajemen*, 15(1), 74–80. <https://doi.org/10.18202/JAM23026332.15.1.10>
- Ariussanto, K. A. P., Tarigan, Z. J. H., MM, D., br Sitepu, R., & Singh, D. S. K. (2020). Leadership style, employee engagement, and work environment to employee performance in manufacturing companies. *EDP Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1051/SHSCONF/20207601020>
- Basalamah, S. A. (2021). The role of work motivation and work environment in improving job satisfaction. *Golden Ratio of Human Resource Management*, 1(2), 94–103. <https://doi.org/10.52970/GRHRM.V1I2.54>
- Bija, A. P. (2020). Influence of Leadership Style, Work Culture, and Job Satisfaction on Employee Engagement. *KnE Social Sciences*, 376–388. <https://doi.org/10.18502/KSS.V4I14.7895>
- Boamah, S. A., Spence Laschinger, H. K., Wong, C., & Clarke, S. (2018). Effect of transformational leadership on job satisfaction and patient safety outcomes. *Nursing Outlook*, 66(2), 180–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.outlook.2017.10.004>
- Breevaart, K. (2015). Engaging leadership. <http://hdl.handle.net/1765/77234>
- Ceil, C. (2016). Leadership and Teamwork. Available at SSRN 2984095. <https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.2984095>
- Chang, H. (2017). Does leadership matter? Study of leadership style, job performance and job satisfaction. *Poslovna Ekonomija*, 12(2). <https://doi.org/10.5937/POSEKO12-16191>
- Desa, N. M., Khoon, T. L., & Asaari, M. (2018). Work stress toward work environment, management support, and employee satisfaction among employees of public organizations. *International Journal of Asian Social Science*, 8(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.18488/JOURNAL.1.2018.81.1.11>
- Dini, A. P., & Deviastri, L. (2023). The Influence of Organizational Culture, Work Environment, Knowledge Sharing and Motivation on Employee Performance. *Research of Business and Management*, 1(1), 45–56. <https://doi.org/10.58777/rbm.v1i1.22>
- Farrell, M. (2018). Leadership reflections: Organizational culture. *Journal of Library Administration*, 58(8), 861–872. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01930826.2018.1516949>
- Gao, Y. (2017). Business leaders' personal values, organisational culture and market orientation. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 25(1), 49–64. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0965254X.2015.1076879>
- Harper, P. J., Cary, J. C., Brown, W. S., & Rivas, P. (2022). The Impact of Stress Levels on Ethicality for Employees. *Journal of Applied Business and Economics*, 24(6). <https://doi.org/10.33423/jabe.v24i6.5730>
- Hartanto, O. (2024). Exploring Comprehensive Approaches to Employee Health and Happiness. *Advances in Human Resource Management Research*, 2(1 SE-

- Articles), 50–62. <https://doi.org/10.60079/ahrmr.v2i1.246>
- Hartnell, C. A., & Walumbwa, F. O. (2011). Transformational leadership and organizational culture. *The Handbook of Organizational Culture and Climate*, 2.
- Heriyanto, M. F., & Rizal, A. (2022). The Effect of Motivation, Job Satisfaction, Organizational Culture and Work Environment on Organizational Commitment to Employees. *Indonesian Journal of Law and Economics Review*, 16, 10–21070. <https://doi.org/10.21070/ijler.v16i0.815>
- Huang, X., Xu, E., Chiu, W., Lam, C., & Farh, J.-L. (2015). When authoritarian leaders outperform transformational leaders: Firm performance in a harsh economic environment. *Academy of Management Discoveries*, 1(2), 180–200. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMD.2014.0132>
- Humairah, K. Al, Irawan, A., Ibrahim, M. B. H., Yendra, Y., & Rasyid, A. (2023). Crafting Effective HRM Strategies for Enhancing Organizational Performance and Employee Engagement. *Advances in Human Resource Management Research*, 1(3 SE-Articles), 126–136. <https://doi.org/10.60079/ahrmr.v1i3.183>
- Ingsih, K., Wuryani, W., & Suhana, S. (2021). The role of work environment, work motivation, and leadership to improve employee performance with job satisfaction as an intervening variables. *Academy of Strategic Management Journal*, 20(3), 1–11.
- Juchnowicz, M., & Kinowska, H. (2018). Leadership typology and employee engagement. *Journal of Corporate Responsibility and Leadership*, 5(1), 45–59. <https://doi.org/10.12775/18715>
- Jumady, E. (2023). The Role of Organizational Culture, Work Environment and Motivation in Improving Employee Performance. *Advances in Human Resource Management Research*, 1(1 SE-Articles), 26–33. <https://doi.org/10.60079/ahrmr.v1i1.40>
- Khan, S. I., Abdullah, N. N., & Zada, R. S. E. (2023). Transformational, transactional & Laissez-Faire leadership styles: A gender analysis. *QALAAI ZANIST JOURNAL*, 8(1), 1228–1246. <https://doi.org/10.25212/lfu.qzj.8.1.49>
- Lesmana, M. T., Nasution, A. E., & Handoko, D. T. (2022). The Role of Employee Job Satisfaction: Work Discipline and Work Environment. *Journal of International Conference Proceedings*, 5(2), 546–557. <https://doi.org/10.32535/jicp.v5i2.1718>
- López-Cabarcos, M. Á., Vázquez-Rodríguez, P., & Quiñoá-Piñeiro, L. M. (2022). An approach to employees' job performance through work environmental variables and leadership behaviours. *Journal of Business Research*, 140, 361–369. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.11.006>
- Lyubykh, Z., Gulseren, D., Turner, N., Barling, J., & Seifert, M. (2022). Shared transformational leadership and safety behaviours of employees, leaders, and teams: A multilevel investigation. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 95(2), 431–458. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joop.12381>
- Myrvang, N. A. (2020). The Relationship between Employee Well-Being, Burnout and Perceived Organizational Support in Healthcare Professionals. *Journal of International Health Sciences and Management*, 6(12), 34–39. <https://doi.org/10.48121/JIHSAM.788565>
- Nasir, J., Ibrahim, R. M., Sarwar, M. A., Sarwar, B., Al-Rahmi, W. M., Alturise, F., Samed Al-Adwan, A., & Uddin, M. (2022). The effects of transformational leadership, organizational innovation, work stressors, and creativity on

- employee performance in SMEs. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 772104. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.772104>
- Nešić, S. (2019). Fostering innovation in large companies through collaboration with technology startups: Examples in Serbia. *Anali Ekonomskog Fakulteta u Subotici*, 55(42), 65–79. <https://doi.org/10.5937/ANEKSUB1942065N>
- Norawati, S., Irawan, F., & Jatiningrum, C. (2022). Investigation The Effect of Leadership and Work Environment on Employee Performance. *Primanomics: Jurnal Ekonomi & Bisnis*, 20(2), 72–87. <https://doi.org/10.31253/pe.v20i2.1015>
- Odebiyi, D. O., & Okafor, U. A. C. (2023). Musculoskeletal disorders, workplace ergonomics and injury prevention. In *Ergonomics-new insights*. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.106031>
- Omarova, L., & Jo, S.-J. (2022). Employee pro-environmental behavior: The impact of environmental transformational leadership and GHRM. *Sustainability*, 14(4), 2046. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14042046>
- Paais, M., & Pattiruhu, J. R. (2020). Effect of motivation, leadership, and organizational culture on satisfaction and employee performance. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 7(8), 577–588. <https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2020.vol7.no8.577>
- Page, G., & Tolmie, J. (2024). Physical working environments: how they affect our wellbeing and performance. In *Practice*, 46(3), 152–156. <https://doi.org/10.1002/inpr.420>
- Palvalin, M., & Vuolle, M. (2016). Methods for identifying and measuring the performance impacts of work environment changes. *Journal of Corporate Real Estate*, 18(3), 164–179. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JCRE-11-2015-0035>
- Paquin, H., Bank, I., Young, M., Nguyen, L. H. P., Fisher, R., & Nugus, P. (2018). Leadership in crisis situations: merging the interdisciplinary silos. *Leadership in Health Services*, 31(1), 110–128. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LHS-02-2017-0010>
- Puli, J., & Sagi, S. (2022). Job satisfaction of women employees in different organizational work culture. *Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences*, 43(7), 1601–1621. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02522667.2022.2133206>
- Putri, D. K. A., & Hartono, A. (2023). Training, Leadership Style, and Work Environment on Employee Performance: the Role of Work Motivation. *Jurnal Fokus Manajemen Bisnis*, 13(2), 197–214. <https://doi.org/10.12928/fokus.v13i2.8889>
- Qomariah, N., Friyanti, D., Budisatoto, E., Masram, M., & Mu'ah, M. (2020). The impact of leadership style, work environment and job satisfaction on employee performance. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Technology*, 13(8), 2020–2030. <https://doi.org/10.37624/IJERT/13.8.2020.2030-2038>
- Rachmaliya, N. S., & Efendy, H. (2017). Analysis of employee performance, organization culture, work satisfaction and organization commitment. *Human Resource Research*, 1(1), 41. <https://doi.org/10.5296/HRR.V1I1.11740>
- Ramli, A. H. (2019). Work environment, job satisfaction and employee performance in health services. *Business and Entrepreneurial Review*, 19(1), 29–42. <https://doi.org/10.25105/BER.V19I1.5343>
- Ribeiro, N., Yücel, İ., & Gomes, D. (2018). How transformational leadership predicts employees' affective commitment and performance. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 67(9), 1901–1917. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-09-2017-0229>

- Rostina, C. F., Muhani, D., & Sinaga, T. (2020). Occupational Health and Safety, Work Discipline, Leadership Style Affect Employee Performance. *International Journal of Social Science and Business*, 4(4), 429-437. <https://doi.org/10.23887/IJSSB.V4I4.28191>
- Sadick, A.-M., & Kamardeen, I. (2020). Enhancing employees' performance and well-being with nature exposure embedded office workplace design. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 32, 101789. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobbe.2020.101789>
- Selinheimo, S., Vuokko, A., Hublin, C., Järnefelt, H., Karvala, K., Sainio, M., Suojalehto, H., Suvisaari, J., & Paunio, T. (2019). Health-related quality among life of employees with persistent nonspecific indoor-air-associated health complaints. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 122, 112-120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IPSYCHORES.2019.03.181>
- Shalahuddin, S. (2023). Improving Employee Performance Through Good Organizational Culture and Work Motivation. *Advances in Human Resource Management Research*, 1(1 SE-Articles), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.60079/ahrmr.v1i1.38>
- Sharafizad, J., & Redmond, J. (2020). Discretionary effort of higher education sector employees: motivators and inhibitors. *Studies in Higher Education*, 45(6), 1261-1279. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2019.1628200>
- Sinambela, E. A., & Lestari, U. P. (2022). Pengaruh Kepemimpinan, Lingkungan Kerja, dan Kemampuan Kerja Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Kewirausahaan*, 10(1), 178-190. <https://doi.org/10.47668/pkwu.v10i1.330>
- Skogstad, A., Hetland, J., Glasø, L., & Einarsen, S. (2014). Is avoidant leadership a root cause of subordinate stress? Longitudinal relationships between laissez-faire leadership and role ambiguity. *Work & Stress*, 28(4), 323-341. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02678373.2014.957362>
- Tambe, P., & Hitt, L. M. (2014). Job hopping, information technology spillovers, and productivity growth. *Management Science*, 60(2), 338-355. <https://doi.org/10.1287/MNSC.2013.1764>
- Virgareta, H., & Firdaus, V. (2022). Impact of Employee Engagement, Work Environment, Organizational Culture on Organizational Citizenship Behavior Company. *Indonesian Journal of Law and Economics Review*, 17, 10-21070. <https://doi.org/10.21070/ijler.v17i0.865>
- Wahyuni, N. (2024). Applying Occupational Psychology Principles to Enhance Organizational Effectiveness. *Advances in Human Resource Management Research*, 2(1 SE-Articles), 11-25. <https://doi.org/10.60079/ahrmr.v2i1.208>
- Yuan, Y., Kong, H., Baum, T., Liu, Y., Liu, C., Bu, N., Wang, K., & Yin, Z. (2022). Transformational leadership and trust in leadership impacts on employee commitment. *Tourism Review*, 77(5), 1385-1399. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TR-10-2020-0477>